

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,092 02/06/2004		02/06/2004	Ernesto A. Brovelli	AM1150 7125	
24123	7590	04/07/2006		INER	
ALTICOR		ET EAST MAIL COL	LEITH, PATRICIA A		
7575 FULTON STREET EAST MAILCODE 78-2G ADA, MI 49355				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<b>-,</b>				1655	

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
, •		10/774,09	02	BROVELLI ET AL.					
·	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	·				
		Patricia Le	eith	1655					
T Period for R	he MAILING DATE of this communication eply	n appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress				
WHICHE - Extension after SIX ( - If NO peri - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RICE IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING SOFT OF THE MAILING SOFT O	G DATE OF TH FR 1.136(a). In no eve in. eriod will apply and wi statute, cause the appl	IIS COMMUNICATION ont, however, may a reply be tim Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1) <u></u> Re	sponsive to communication(s) filed on _								
· · ·	-	This action is no	on-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the									
clo	sed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex par</i> te Qu	<i>ayl</i> e, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition	of Claims								
4)⊠ Cla	aim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.							
4a)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□ Cla	aim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)□ Cla	nim(s) is/are rejected.								
7) 🗌 Cla	aim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)⊠ Cla	aim(s) <u>1-22</u> are subject to restriction and	d/or election req	uirement.						
Application	Papers								
9) <u></u> The	specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.							
10)∐ The	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	$\square$ objected to by the E	Examiner.					
Арі	olicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	placement drawing sheet(s) including the co	•	-, ,		• •				
11)∐ The	e oath or declaration is objected to by th	ne Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119				•				
•	nowledgment is made of a claim for for solution in the contraction is made of a claim for for solution in the contraction is made of a claim for for formal in the contraction is made of a claim for formal in the contraction is made of a claim for for for for formal in the contraction is made of a claim for for for for formal in the contraction is made of a claim for	eign priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
•	☐ Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have bee	n received.						
_	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3.[	Copies of the certified copies of the	priority docume	ents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage				
	application from the International Bu	ureau (PCT Rule	e 17.2(a)).						
* See	the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certif	fied copies not receive	ed.					
Attachment(s)									
1) D Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary						
· —	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	•	Paper No(s)/Mail Da  5) Notice of Informal P		L152\				
	on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE (s)/Mail Date	B/U8)	6) Other:	aton Application (FTC	~192)				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-7, drawn to a method for determining optimal harvest window of medicinal plants, classified in class 424, subclass 725 for example.
- II. Claims 8-11, drawn to a method of augmenting the immune-stimulatory effects of Echinacea extracts, classified in class 210, subclass 634 for example.
- III. Claims 12-22, drawn to an extract of Echinacea, classified in class 424, subclass 737 for example.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I, II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions of Groups I and II are independent since they are not disclosed as capable of use together, they have different modes of operation, they have different functions, and/or they have different effects. One would not have to practice the various methods at the same time to practice just one method alone. The search for each of

Art Unit: 1655

the above inventions is not co-extensive particularly with regard to the literature search.

Further, a reference which would anticipate the invention of one group would not necessarily anticipate or even make obvious another group. Group III is distinct from Groups I and II because Group III does not require any of the particulars of Groups I or II.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each Group is not required for the others, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement, to be complete, must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: medicinal plants, marker compound, immune-stimulatory product. The species are independent or distinct because they differ in structure and function.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 5, 6 and 7 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,092

Art Unit: 1655

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia Leith whose telephone number is (571) 272-0968. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry McKelvey can be reached on (571) 272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1655

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patricia Leith Primary Examiner Art Unit 1655

April 3, 2006